# INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL AFFAIRS AND RESEARCH

VOLUME 3 ISSUE 1

Peer-reviewed, open-access, refereed journal

IJLAR

+91 70421 48991
editor@ijlar.com
www.ijlar.com

# DISCLAIMER

The views and opinions expressed in the articles published in the Indian Journal of Legal Affairs and Research are those of the respective authors and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of the IJLAR, its editorial board, or its affiliated institutions. The IJLAR assumes no responsibility for any errors or omissions in the content of the journal. The information provided in this journal is for general informational purposes only and should not be construed as legal advice. Readers are encouraged to seek professional legal counsel for specific legal issues. The IJLAR and its affiliates shall not be liable for any loss or damage arising from the use of the information contained in this journal.

 ISSN: 3049-043X

# Introduction

Welcome to the Indian Journal of Legal Affairs and Research (IJLAR), a distinguished platform dedicated to the dissemination of comprehensive legal scholarship and academic research. Our mission is to foster an environment where legal professionals, academics, and students can collaborate and contribute to the evolving discourse in the field of law. We strive to publish high-quality, peer-reviewed articles that provide insightful analysis, innovative perspectives, and practical solutions to contemporary legal challenges. The IJLAR is committed to advancing legal knowledge and practice by bridging the gap between theory and practice.

ISSN: 3049-043X

# Preface

The Indian Journal of Legal Affairs and Research is a testament to our unwavering commitment to excellence in legal scholarship. This volume presents a curated selection of articles that reflect the diverse and dynamic nature of legal studies today. Our contributors, ranging from esteemed legal scholars to emerging academics, bring forward a rich tapestry of insights that address critical legal issues and offer novel contributions to the field. We are grateful to our editorial board, reviewers, and authors for their dedication and hard work, which have made this publication possible. It is our hope that this journal will serve as a valuable resource for researchers, practitioners, and policymakers, and will inspire further inquiry and debate within the legal community.

 ISSN: 3049-043X

## Description

The Indian Journal of Legal Affairs and Research is an academic journal that publishes peer-reviewed articles on a wide range of legal topics. Each issue is designed to provide a platform for legal scholars, practitioners, and students to share their research findings, theoretical explorations, and practical insights. Our journal covers various branches of law, including but not limited to constitutional law, international law, criminal law, commercial law, human rights, and environmental law. We are dedicated to ensuring that the articles published in our journal adhere to the highest standards of academic rigor and contribute meaningfully to the understanding and development of legal theories and practices.

*WWW.ijlar.com*
*Volume 3 Issue 5 | June 2026* *ISSN: 3049-043X*

# "A CRITICAL STUDY ON POST-MERGER INTEGRATION STRATEGIES AND THEIR INFLUENCE ON LONG-TERM FIRM PERFORMANCE AND CORPORATE SUSTAINABILITY"

AUTHORED BY - RAHUL K R[1] & DR. JYOTIRMOY BANERJEE[2]
1. LLM Student, Amity Law School, Amity University, Bengaluru
2. Assistant Professor, Amity Law School, Amity University, Bengaluru

## ABSTRACT

M&A has always been a key tool in an organization's survival and strategy, but the value derived from them has more to do with what goes on behind the scenes than the money exchanged in the deal. Post-merger integration is still the critical, often overlooked, element of a merger, covering governance and organization restructuring, cultural assimilation, and stakeholder alignment. This paper investigates the link between post merger integration on long-term performance of the firm, focusing on the importance of corporate sustainability and environmental, social and governance aspects in the post merger integration. Building on the most recent and seminal literature on merger performance, organisational learning, stakeholder management, cross-border acquisitions and integration for sustainability, the paper develops the idea that good integration management is crucial for success in mergers in today's corporate context. Companies that systematically integrate governance effectiveness, adaptive leadership, employee engagement processes and measures of sustainability commitment into their integration processes come out more strongly than companies on which integration is a second place operational issue. On the other hand, there remains in general a very different form of merger failure, where cultural friction, fragile leadership, and false visions of synergy are responsible for the majority of mergers that fail. The paper uses doctrinal and analytical research methodology which incorporates all secondary sources of the study and forms a multidimensional model of assessing the effectiveness of the post-merger integration. A study shows that the key for achieving sustainable, post-merger growth is to view integration as a strategic governance process, not simply as a transitional management chore.

**Keywords:** Post-Merger Integration, Corporate Sustainability, Mergers and Acquisitions, ESG, Long-Term Firm Performance, Governance, Organisational Learning, Stakeholder Management.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Mergers and acquisitions in the corporate world are some of the biggest differentiations of today's global economy. In industries and geographies alike, companies seek mergers and acquisitions as a strategy for growth, to capitalize on new technologies or gain competitive edge, to gain economies of scale, or to diversify revenue streams. All the last 30 years have seen an exponential increase in merger rates, and international mergers, growth for sustainability and consolidation of digital companies have become key trends in international corporate strategy.

In spite of this broad love affair with merge and acquisition, the empirical evidence on merger effect does not look good. There are many studies showing that a significant portion of mergers do not achieve the expected synergies or promises to the shareholders in the long-term. But rather than be attributed to overvaluation, poor deal structuring or bad luck, the academic research is converging on the belief that integration failure is the most important reason for poor post-acquisition performance[1]. While legal integration has usually concluded a transaction, the "post merger integration" that follows is the part of the integration where the value usually begins to trickle from— and also where it often leaks out.

Post merger integration is the coordinated processes to join two organizations that were independent of each other: structure, culture, systems, human resources and operations. The successful integration of your strategy into practice is about realising synergies, ensuring governance improvements become embedded in practice, aligning the interests of all relevant stakeholders, and positioning the combined company for sustainable growth. Effective integration, however, creates organizational disruption, cultural animosity, talent loss, governance turmoil and finally, lost value.

A fairly new but crucial trend in the area is the integration of sustainability aspects into adaptation

[1] Maurizio Zollo & Harbir Singh, Deliberate Learning in Corporate Acquisitions: Post-Acquisition Strategies and Integration Capability in U.S. Bank Mergers (2004).

planning. Social and environmental (Sustainability) and social and governance (Governance) criteria are now gaining momentum in investor analysis, regulation, and corporate reputation measures and drive mergers beyond simply their financial synergies to their sustainability performance and their ESG 'fit'. The post-deal phase is coming with new challenges as firms tackle the methods of green acquisitions, cross-border sustainability transfers and governance-driven integration approaches.

Drawing on insights from corporate governance theory, strategic management, sustainability science and studies on acquisitions, both post-hold-up and post-merger integration strategies and subsequent firm performance are examined critically. The aim is to create a broad experience on the conditions for the success or failure of integration and the growing importance of sustainability as a yardstick and a key figure in today's merger management.

## 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Conceptually, the literature on the subject of mergers and acquisitions has become much richer in the last 20 years, as the attention moves from transaction-related financial numbers to the organisational aspects and control structures that affect performance in the future.

Puspita Rani, Elvia Shauki, Darminto Darminto and Ruslan Prijadi found that the synergy as a motivating force can clearly and consistently improve postmerger profitability of Asian firms compared to agency motives. In addition, their study confirms the moderating impact on this relationship of two governance aspects – firm-level and country-level governance quality – highlighting the pivotal role of governance structures in the implementation of anticipated merger benefits[2].

Antonio Salvi, Felice Petruzzella, and Anastasia Giakoumelou studied environmentally-friendly mergers and acquisitions, and confirmed that companies that merged in this manner had better after-matter gains in financial measures, especially return on assets; additionally, they were better at consolidating the sustainability issues of their businesses. Their research plays a role in a

[2] Puspita Rani, Elvia R. Shauki, Darminto Darminto and Ruslan Prijadi, 'Motives, Governance, and Long-Term Performance of Mergers and Acquisitions in Asia' (2020)

growing insight that sustainability is more than compliance—the catalyst for competitive advantage in the context of an M&A[3].

One of the most cited papers in the area of deliberate learning in corporate acquisitions is the work of Maurizio Zollo and Harbir Singh. Their study on U.S. bank mergers proved that companies that actively document the knowledge of how to integrate within a structured manual, an integration protocol, and institutional memory structures outperform those with only previous deal experience in the areas of post-acquisition outcomes. The study also warns that radical changes in management of acquired firms can actually hurt the integration process[4].

Sudirman and Ridwan analyzed the performance changes of private education institutions in Indonesia in the post-merger phase, and concluded that mergers improve governance structure, lower the cost of operating the educational institution and enhance the long-term financial sustainability. Their study does, however, find that cultural compatibility and readied leadership foremost from within the institutions are non-negotiable pre-conditions for successful integration[5].

To explore the strategic and financial drivers of successful mergers, Deni Sunaryo et al. performed a semantic analysis of the M&A literature, and ultimately identified three drivers that are most consistently identified across industries and institutions as a key factor in the M&A success: strategic alignment, managerial capability and organisational adaptability. Their work is consistent with what other researchers have found; i.e., that successful performance post mergers depends not on the size of the deal but on the managers' level of competence in integrating the companies[6].

An important and nuanced view, taken by Michael-Georg Schmeidl – who is part of the dissertation group – is that employee, institutional shareholder and mid-level management resistance may create significant obstacles to implementing synergy if not properly managed.

---

[3] Antonio Salvi, Felice Petruzzella and Anastasia Giakoumelou, 'Green M&A Deals and Bidders' Value Creation: The Role of Sustainability in Post-Acquisition Performance' (2018)
[4] Maurizio Zollo & Harbir Singh, supra note 1.
[5] Sudirman & Ridwan, The Influence of Operational Synergy, Cost Efficiency, and Diversification Strategy on the Financial Sustainability of Post-Merger Higher Education Institutions (2025).
[6] Deni Sunaryo, Abdul Fatah, Ardila Putri, Nurkhasanah Ramadhani Azizah & Rhaisa Aulia Mustafani, Strategic Implications and Performance Outcomes in Mergers and Acquisitions: A Semantic Review (2025).

Based on his analysis, companies that establish stakeholder-centered communication and conflict management tools during integration generate much more value after the merge than those that only take a structural route[7].

In reviewing post merger integration strategies, Godfred Yaw Koi-Akrofi suggests that there may be no single 'blueprint' for integration and that factors including culture, operations and people in the merger are crucial. He is especially focused on the human dimension – the view he, and others since, have come to believe is as essential as the financial engineering for successful integration success[8].

They conducted a study on the cross-border M&A activity between Sri Lankan and Swedish firms and revealed that cross-border M&As of firms with good sustainability orientation for strategic reasons can help in improving the quality of governance, environmental responsibility and sustainability reporting in targeted firms effectively. Their contribution therefore serves to emphasize the role of cross-border acquisitions as a means of the institutional knowledge and sustainability norms being passed across jurisdictions[9].

In a systematic literature review of sustainability in M&A, Christoph Kayser and Henning Zülch presented evidence that ESG principles are finding their place in M & A processes from due diligence, valuation, post-M&A governance and reporting to sustainability performance monitoring. Their efforts put sustainability integration on the strategic playing field as something that brings value, and not only compliance[10].

According to Ieva Poderiene and Valdone Darskuviene, the authors of the report, boards with a diverse mix of skills, past acquisitions experience and integration oversight activity experience considerably superior outcomes compared to boards that are passive about integration activity.

[7] Michael-Georg Schmeidl, Stakeholder Conflict in Mergers and Acquisitions and the Importance of Post-Merger Integration (2019).
[8] Godfred Yaw Koi-Akrofi, Mergers and Acquisitions: Post-Merger and Acquisition Integration Strategies (2016).
[9] Buddhini Erosha Jayasinghe & Farjana Rifat, The Effect of Cross-Border Mergers & Acquisitions as a Driver of Better Corporate Sustainability Practices (2023).
[10] Christoph Kayser & Henning Zülch, Understanding the Relevance of Sustainability in Mergers and Acquisitions—A Systematic Literature Review on Sustainability and Its Implications throughout Deal Stages (2024).

This discovery has directly linked governance theory to practice regarding integration with the board's quality as a tangible contributor to the value created from the merger[11].

Zsuzsanna Vincze and her colleagues studied the evolution of corporate sustainability strategies in an acquired setting and found that longevity problems, mutual collaboration of the acquired and the acquirer learning from the integration process have a significant positive impact on the sustainability maturity level. This work is dynamic and doesn't constitute a one-off structural realignment of sustainability, but rather is a process of organisational development in its entirety[12]. Overall, this body of literature indicates that post integration is a multi-forum governance challenge that consists of a multitude of financial, operational, cultural, human, and sustainability issues. There is still a lack of understanding of the dynamics of integration within emerging markets and the quantification of the sustainability benefits of cross-border deals in the long-term.

## 3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a doctrinal and analytical research methodology. The doctrinal component is structured and explains the conceptual and normative dimensions of post merger integration, such as the types of integration, governance styles, theories of stakeholder management and sustainability models. The analytical portion assesses the empirical and theoretical arguments in the current scholarship to determine the effects of integration practices more or less effectively in different institutional situations.

The research is based on secondary sources (these include peer-reviewed journal articles, book-length studies and academic dissertations published from 2004 to 2026). Studies that bring empirical rigor and analytical depth to the analysis have been set as the first priority, including those that follow merger effects over long periods across sectors and/or across borders. Throughout there's an interdisciplinary slant, making use of an understanding of governance theory, environmental economics, organisational behaviour, strategic management and corporate law.

[11] Ieva Poderiene & Valdone Darskuviene, Corporate Value Creation: The Effects of Board Role and Composition on Post-Merger Integration (2024).
[12] Zsuzsanna Vincze, Linda Hällerstrand, Daniel Örtqvist & Lena Rist, Strategic Corporate Sustainability in a Post-Acquisition Context (2021).

There has not been any primary data collection carried out. The analytical approach should be used to establish convergences and divergences in the surveyed literature as a basis for developing an evaluative matrix to help understand the situations for which integration strategy works and why, as well as settings where it does not.

# 4. POST-MERGER INTEGRATION AND LONG-TERM PERFORMANCE.

### 4.1 Strategic Integration and Synergy Realisation

The most often adduced justification for mergers is synergy creation, that is, the value of the combined operations is greater than what is offered by the two firms' operations alone. Synergies can be achieved in different ways including saving costs due to operational consolidation, increasing sales revenues due to access to complementary markets and improvements in profitability due to a combination of technologies and/or personnel. But the acne of merger management is one of the ongoing and most expensive ones; this is the lack of synergy anticipation and realisation.

Past experience always indicates that the synergies are exaggerated during the merger process and the complexity and integration expenses are underestimated. The overestimate of course is partly the outcome of competitive bidding where, based on that pressure, firms try to be more efficient in explaining why they are being acquired for premiums and partly because pre-deal integration plans are still less than ideal. Many times after the transaction has closed, the true extent of the differences in processes, culture and organization between the two companies are revealed for the first time.

Making strategic integration work demands a disciplined effort in the planning of the business, not after it is closed. This includes roles and responsibilities for integration champions; forming cross-functional integration teams; charting interdependencies for operations; and positioning realistic synergy timelines. Organizations that manage integration as a business strategy, instead of a business change management project typically reap more long term rewards. Rani and colleagues' evidence supports this thesis: integration to create synergy leads to increased financial performance[13], while that is not possible through transaction driven by agency interests or through poorly planned transactions.12

[13] Rani et al., supra note 3.

### 4.2 Organisational Learning and Integration Capability

Some of the most consistent findings in M&A research is that companies that have previous acquisition experience do not necessarily do a better job in undertaking transactions in subsequent years or even decades; however, firms that have turned those prior transactions into institutional knowledge do[14]. This contrasted individual tacitness from codified organisational capability is most clearly suggested by the writings of Zollo and Singh. When companies put together integration instruction manuals, review after deal protocols and common understanding repositories not just one-time lessons, but reusable belongings that build-up across successive deals.

Importantly, there is not only efficiency of the integration process in organisational learning. It includes cultural and "relational" learning in order to connect two different culture based organizational identities. Companies that research the core values and norms of the acquired company – as well as its informal leadership dynamics and communication patterns – have the best opportunities to overcome resistance, keep critical talent and harmonize with a post-deal culture.

### 4.3 Governance Structures and Board Participation

The framework for making and monitoring integration decisions is the corporate governance structure. Governance of the integration phase (including board oversight, executive accountability, transparent decision making, and clear authority) can significantly affect integration. In fact, Poderiene and Darskuviene's analysis shows that boards with integration capabilities and oversight affect the value[15] of the merged firm significantly more than boards which do little more than rubberstamp management's integration work.14

There are a number of governance issues that are especially pertinent within an integration context. First, having two different sets of governance may lead to a buildup of unwarranted ambiguity of controls, that is, situations where it is not clear which of the two governance's decision making and governance controls, or both, are relevant to a specific question asked. This vagueness delays decision making, makes people unhappy at work, and introduces chances for strategic mismatch. Second, once the two companies have merged, representation from each firm is added to the board

[14] Zollo & Singh, supra note 1.
[15] Poderiene & Darskuviene, supra note 12.

 ISSN: 3049-043X

so that there is a risk that decision quality is compromised and board cohesion is not maintained if the mix of new board members is not well managed.

The successful governance during the integration process also demands good communicative activity with all the participants of the business: employees, institutional investors, regulators, customers and supply chain partners. Companies that research, design and put into action effective integration communication and governance reporting frameworks keep stakeholders' trust when integration is problematic and significantly boost long-term integrity and performance stability.

### 4.4 Leadership Continuity and Human Capital Retention

One of the most critical and impactful parts of a post-merger situation is the retention of key employees, like leadership and operational staff. A high rate of talent attrition – senior managers, experts in their fields and the sales and support team that engage with clients – can be a major part of M&A value erosion, according to the research.

Additionally, Zollo and Singh's research reveals that too many changes in management in mergers have a detrimental impact[16]. Leadership changes through acquisition can seem to be the obvious step when they are acquired, but when they happen too quickly or all the way, it will have a negative effect on institutional continuity, the morale of employees and the loss of such organisational knowledge which is difficult to replace. Best practices tend to focus on keeping certain acquired-firm leaders where they can be effectively guided, developing clear performance and promotion metrics, and implementing the management/model where the acquired employees have authentic voice and visibility.

## 5. POST-MERGER INTEGRATION AND CORPORATE SUSTAINABILITY

### 5.1 The Growing Relevance of ESG in Merger Strategy

In the last ten years, the process of incorporating ESG considerations in the way that mergers are approached has changed considerably. Whereas it used to be a peripheral due diligence requirement (usually just performing due diligence on environmental risks it would review), it has become part and parcel to the rationale of an acquisition as well as deal value creation and post-acquisition integration planning. This reflects the wider investment environment as institutional

[16] Zollo & Singh, supra note 1.

investors, sovereign wealth funds, and environmental, social and governance (ESG)-oriented asset managers increasingly exercise sustainability screens throughout the M&A process, and also now increasingly hold boards to account around M&A and sustainability, following that activity.
The couples Kayser and Zülch have explored in their systematic review of sustainability in M&A – covering pre-deal evaluation, negotiating into deals and post-deal integration – have found that better ESG integration in M&A transactions usually leads to better deals[17] going through the transaction and better outcomes for value creation. They identify sustainability due diligence as a material risk management activity, highlighting an issue of environmental liabilities, governance issues, social non-conformance with the standards or regulations that target companies are frequently affected by during the due diligence phase, almost leading to the cancellation of a transaction or to sizeable post-deal value write-downs.
The category of sustainability awareness oriented acquisitions is of special relevance and getting increasing importance, namely "green mergers". These are those transactions whose key strategic goal is to gain access to the target's environmental technologies, renewable energy assets, sustainable supply chains or ESG management practices. Salvi and associates prove that such mergers result in greater ROI on assets and help build corporate sustainability ratings.16

**5.2 Cross-Border Mergers and Sustainability Transfer**

Cross-border mergers, which serve as a relevant tool for transnational diffusion of sustainability practices, sustainability governance norms or ESG standards, have turned into significant instruments for transferring between institutional arenas that can be at vastly different levels in terms of sustainability development. That advanced firms can introduce systems in emerging markets that they are missing from their own, through acquisitions and mergers, is extremely relevant to the environmental management systems and labour standards, the ones to corruption and the ones on climate governance.
The finding of Jayasinghe and Rifat fits in with this pattern: For firms with high sustainability orientations, strategic cross-border acquisitions are found to have a measurable effect on the quality of the target firm's governance and environmental responsibility. The result has important policy implications when considering the viable potential of M&A transactions in the pursuit of

[17] Kayser & Zülch, supra note 2.

sustainable development goals in developing economies.

But there are some complexities involved in transferring sustainability across borders. Altering legal frameworks, cultural norms on environmental controls, local stakeholder views and institutions readiness can all be barriers to the successful implementation of the transfer of sustainability practices. A cultural backlash, regulatory issues, and employee disengagement could arise if acquirers make the home-country sustainability rules a hard and fast mandate for an acquired company without adaptation to local conditions. Cross border integration on a sustainable basis must be integrated on a contextual level with global understanding.

### 5.3 Stakeholder Management as a Sustainability Imperative

The social aspect of sustainability from employee wellbeing to how much care and attention is given to communities and using ethical supply chain management has a direct impact on post merger integration. A merger brings considerable stress and uncertainty to the employees of the acquired company as well as the acquiring company. Job security, cultural assimilation, and organisation identity issues are widespread across merger contexts and generally go hand-in-hand to create problems of disengagement, resistance, and attrition that can diminish merging value.

A key principle in Schmeidl's stakeholder conflict analysis is that employee opposition isn't a mere management problem, it's also a real financial threat. Loss of key staff members causes disruption in institutional relationships, loss of operational continuity and loss of the "how-to" knowledge within the acquired institution. Companies that put in the effort to communicate with partners about what changed in certain parts of the integration, involve partners in decision-making, conduct cultural integration workshops and handle conflicting expectations well look after far greater post-deal value.18

A new layer is added by Vince and colleagues who show that the integration of sustainability isn't a “one command-it-be-done” process[18], but requires a collaborative process instead. Active involvement of acquired firm employees in formulating the sustainability policy of the combined organization leads them to feel ownership of the M&A result, which decreases different types of opposition and increases long-term organization commitment to sustainability goals.

[18] Vincze et al., supra note 13.

# 6. CHALLENGES IN POST-MERGER INTEGRATION

With all the convincing evidence why an integration approach needs to be managed carefully, the failures of involving integration still abound. It is critical to AEDC failures to consider the structural and behavioral causes to devise strategies to integrate more effectively.

The biggest obstacle to integration is always cited to be cultural incompatibility. The way people communicate, make decisions and assess performance, as well as their relationships with authority, is influenced by organisational culture. Can two companies have different cultural styles join forces and get it done? No, if the conflict between the two makes decision making go haywire, starts informal opposition movements and leaves everyone with the feeling that one is in "us versus them" mode that makes co-operation on integration that much harder. Culture is the most challenging area to self-screen before entering, and the most challenging area to change from managerial directive, but despite its impact on outcomes it is not given the level of focus that financial and legal due diligence and integration is given.

The second big challenge on the systemic level is unrealistic expectations of synergy. Acquisition justification pressures the projections to frequently come across from the real operational world. But as part of the post-deal performance expectations, managers must drastically alter patient investment strategies at the acquired company that could hurt its productivity, its clannish clientele and its own main stock.

The third challenge – and one that is often overlooked – is leadership fragmentation throughout integration. If the management hierarchy of the merged company is ambiguous (if there is no clarity in who makes what decision, when, what are the policies, who is promoted and how is performance decided) the firm has a greater risk of failure. Unclarity in the authority: If employees are lacking in understanding as to who makes decisions and when, what are the policies, who gets promoted and how, then the authority is ambiguous, which becomes a high risk of the failure of the firm.

This uncertainty entrepreneurs bring about creates frustration, political manoeuvring and strategic paralysis. This risk can be minimised by reporting, decision rights and management responsibilities being well-explicitly integrated in the plans before the deal should be closed.

Problems of integrating sustainability are recent but are increasing in importance. Lack of integration of sustainability goals into the structures after the deal may lead to a loss of credibility, more regulatory visibility and less eligibility for sustainable financing of companies as investor pressure intensifies on companies to integrate sustainability goals. Particularly, a company's firm credibility may be at risk if it vests sustainability assets in a firm without the capacity to credibly manage them and to report on their management.

## 7. FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS

A major result of the critical synthesis carried out in this paper is a set of conclusions that can be extrapolated and generalised to other contexts, both academically and within practice.

Firstly, post merger integration outlook outweighs deal valuation in its impact on the long-term success of the firm as well as the financing structure of the acquisition. Companies that enter into deals via the most favourable terms, but do not perform an effective, systematic, and consistent integration of their acquisitions end up underperforming compared to those companies that pay even more, but can perform a more effective, systematic and consistent integration. The focus in merger studies and the practice should change as well: from merger-creating to merger-реалиising.



Secondly, governance is a constant and pertinent moderator[19] of integration outcomes. When experts are representing the board, who continually provide supervision and who hold management accountable for milestones, boards create better post-deal performance. This link is consistent across industry, geography and type of deals, indicating that governance investment might be among the strongest options that are accessible to companies that are on M&A growth path.

Thirdly, the stock of deliberately created organisational learning (dedicated systematic transformation of the process of integration into institutional knowledge) provides additional benefits for serial acquirers[20]. Companies that have developed integration management capabilities have better prospects in maximizing returns on every incremental transaction, minimizing

[19] Poderiene & Darskuviene, supra note 12.
[20] Zollo & Singh, supra note 1.

integration investments and dealing with cultural complexities. The implications for M&A strategy at the portfolio level is profound, in that a firm should consider integration capability a strategic asset that must be invested in differently than integration capability related to a transaction.

Fourth, sustainability is not a standalone part of M&A strategies. The benefits of ESG in post-merger frameworks[21] – financial, risk management, and stakeholder confidence – are also consistently seen in the evidence reviewed in this paper. Purely financial strategies of mergers or cross-border transfers of sustainability are performed in a rather hypothetical way compared to the measurable benefits in terms of financial performance, corporate reputation and investor trust that can be obtained in the case of green mergers, cross-border sustainability transfers and acquisitions based on governance principles.

Finally, and most significantly, the human and cultural aspects of integration are the aspects most ignored and most significant during the post-macosmerger process. Whereas financial modelling can work out the numbers, and cultural alignment, employee engagement, leadership continuity and communication with stakeholders are often assumed, it's clear in practice whether or not an integration succeeds or fails, and all of these factors are classed as the "human factor".

The sixth issue is cross-border mergers: those entail unique integration challenges and opportunities. The ability of enabling transferable mechanisms, such as sustainability practices and governance standards and operational excellence, across institutional boundaries within the national, regional and local institutional context could be powerful, but needs to be enacted in culturally respectful ways that embrace local institutional realities.

## 8. CONCLUSION

In today's world of mergers, there is one thing that has become clear: The quality of value created or destroyed from an acquisition depends much more on the quality of how it is managed than on the nature of the acquisition itself. This stance is clearly reflected in the evidence this paper has considered and is well supported by its industry, geographical and deal type factors.

[21] Kayser & Zülch, supra note 2; Salvi et al., supra note 4.

Integration has become a complex governance problem[22], requiring the skills to manage it in its various flavours: strategic planning, operational implementation, cultural integration, governance overlooking, stakeholder management and sustainable integration. Companies that adopt this multi-dimensional perspective to integration and manage it well with robust board oversight and adaptive leadership are the ones that transform transaction cost to become long-term competitive advantages.

Adding to this challenge, is the increasing role of corporate sustainability. Increasingly, the ability to bundle ESG commitments, cross-border sustainability practices, and reveal credible long-term sustainability performance is a requirement for legal acceptance for mergers, from investors, regulators, and communities. Incorporating sustainability into integration frameworks is not only meeting, but exceeding external expectations, and firms making this a business practice are creating and sustaining more resilient, flexible and credible organizations.

In the end, it will be the organisations that can master this difficult feat – that can bring together the financial sting of a deal with the organisational cunning of bringing it together – that will win out in M&A's as well as respect the promises made to employees, communities and planet. The findings from the literature reviewed in this paper clearly indicate what this looks like — as well as the high price of not doing it.



## 9.REFERENCES

**Books**

Günter K. Stahl & Mark E. Mendenhall (eds.), Mergers and Acquisitions: Managing Culture and Human Resources, Stanford University Press (2005) — Covers sociocultural dynamics, employee resistance, organizational identity, and human capital in M&A integration.

J. Robert Carleton & Claude Lineberry, Achieving Post-Merger Success: A Stakeholder's Guide to Cultural Due Diligence, Assessment, and Integration, Jossey-Bass / Wiley (2004) — Directly addresses cultural integration failure and stakeholder-focused approaches in PMI.

Timothy Galpin & Mark Herndon, The Complete Guide to Mergers and Acquisitions: Process

[22] Koi-Akrofi, supra note 9.

Tools to Support M&A Integration at Every Level, Jossey-Bass (3rd ed., 2014) — Covers strategic planning, people dynamics, synergy capture, and cultural integration comprehensively.

Yaakov Weber, A Comprehensive Guide to Mergers & Acquisitions: Managing the Critical Success Factors Across Every Stage of the M&A Process, Pearson FT Press (2013) — Addresses integration approaches, cultural dimensions, human capital, and post-merger performance.

Anthony F. Buono & James L. Bowditch, The Human Side of Mergers and Acquisitions: Managing Collisions Between People, Cultures, and Organizations, Jossey-Bass (1989) — A foundational text on the organizational behaviour side of M&A; widely cited in PMI literature.

**Journal Articles**

Bauer, F. & Matzler, K., Antecedents of M&A Success: The Role of Strategic Complementarity, Cultural Fit, and Degree and Speed of Integration, Strategic Management Journal, Vol. 35 (2014), pp. 269–291 — Directly relevant to your sections on strategic integration and cultural compatibility.

Larsson, R. & Finkelstein, S., Integrating Strategic, Organizational, and Human Resource Perspectives on Mergers and Acquisitions: A Case Survey of Synergy Realization, Organization Science, Vol. 10 (1999), pp. 1–26 — A foundational empirical piece on synergy realization across all three integration dimensions.

Bauer, F. et al., Synergy Evaluation in Mergers and Acquisitions: An Attention-Based View, Journal of Management Studies, Vol. 61, No. 1 (2024) — Covers synergy overestimation and the strategic importance of evaluation, complementing your Section 4.1.

Mirc, N., Sele, K., Rouzies, A. & Angwin, D.N., From Fit to Fitting: A Routine Dynamics Perspective on M&A Synergy Realization, Organization Studies, Vol. 44 (2023) — Examines micro-level incompatibilities in integration routines — directly supports your challenges section.

Annesi, N., Battaglia, M., Ceglia, I. & Mercuri, F., Navigating Paradoxes: Building a Sustainable Strategy for an Integrated ESG Corporate Governance, Management Decision, Vol. 63, No. 2 (2025), pp. 531–559 — Supports your ESG governance integration arguments in Section 5.

Shibao, F. et al., Corporate Governance and ESG: Trends and Practices, Journal of Sustainable Competitive Intelligence, Vol. 14 (2024) — Useful for backing your claims on board diversity, sustainability committees, and ESG-governance alignment.

Goold, M. & Campbell, A., Desperately Seeking Synergy, Harvard Business Review, Vol. 76

(1998), pp. 131–143 — A classic, widely cited piece on why synergy promises often go unmet — strong support for your Section 4.1 arguments.