



**The Indian Journal
of Legal Affairs and
Research**

Volume 1 Issue 3

DISCLAIMER

The views and opinions expressed in the articles published in **The Indian Journal of Legal Affairs and Research** are those of the respective authors and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of **The Indian Journal of Legal Affairs and Research**, its editorial board, or its affiliated institutions. **The Indian Journal Of Legal Affairs And Research** assumes no responsibility for any errors or omissions in the content of the journal. The information provided in this journal is for general informational purposes only and should not be construed as legal advice. Readers are encouraged to seek professional legal counsel for specific legal issues. **The Indian Journal Of Legal Affairs And Research** and its affiliates shall not be liable for any loss or damage arising from the use of the information contained in this journal.

EDITORIAL TEAM

Editor in Chief

Dr. Suresh Kumar

Institutional Email ID: suresh.kumar@faculty.anangpuria.com

Institutional Home page: <https://bsail.anangpuria.com/>

Institutional Address: B.S. Anangpuria Institute of Law, Village-Alampur, Sohna-Ballabgarh
Road

District-Faridabad, State-Haryana

Pin-121004

EDITOR

Assistant Professor

Ms. Anushka Ukrani

Institutional Email ID: a.ukrani@dme.ac.in

Institutional Profile Page: <https://law.dme.ac.in/faculty/>

Institutional Home page: <https://law.dme.ac.in/>

Institutional Address: B 12, B block, sector 62, Noida 20130

EDITOR

Associate Professor

Dr. Rajesh Kumar Verma

Institutional Email ID: dr.rajesh@bbdu.ac.in

Institutional Profile Page: <https://bbdu.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2024/08/faculty-list-final.pdf>

Institutional Home page: <https://bbdu.ac.in/>

Institutional Address: Babu Banarasi Das University, Ayodhya Road, Lucknow, UP-226028

EDITOR

Assistant Professor

Dr. Megh Raj

Institutional Email ID: mraj@lc1.du.ac.in

Institutional Profile page: <https://lc1.du.ac.in/?People/Academic-Staff/Assistant-Professors/Megh-Raj>

Institutional Home page: <https://lc1.du.ac.in/>

Institutional Address: Room No.118, Umang Bhawan, Law Centre 1, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi

EDITOR

Dr. Amol Deo Chavhan

Institutional Email ID: adc@nuassam.ac.in

Institutional Profile Page: https://nuassam.ac.in/profile_amol.php

Institutional Home page: <https://nuassam.ac.in/>

Institutional Address: National Law University and Judicial Academy, Hajo Road, Amingaon, Guwahati, Assam

THE INDIAN JOURNAL OF
LEGAL AFFAIRS AND
RESEARCH

Introduction

Welcome to **The Indian Journal Of Legal Affairs And Research**, a distinguished platform dedicated to the dissemination of comprehensive legal scholarship and academic research. Our mission is to foster an environment where legal professionals, academics, and students can collaborate and contribute to the evolving discourse in the field of law. We strive to publish high-quality, peer-reviewed articles that provide insightful analysis, innovative perspectives, and practical solutions to contemporary legal challenges. **The Indian Journal Of Legal Affairs And Research** is committed to advancing legal knowledge and practice by bridging the gap between theory and practice.

Preface

The Indian Journal of Legal Affairs and Research is a testament to our unwavering commitment to excellence in legal scholarship. This volume presents a curated selection of articles that reflect the diverse and dynamic nature of legal studies today. Our contributors, ranging from esteemed legal scholars to emerging academics, bring forward a rich tapestry of insights that address critical legal issues and offer novel contributions to the field. We are grateful to our editorial board, reviewers, and authors for their dedication and hard work, which have made this publication possible. It is our hope that this journal will serve as a valuable resource for researchers, practitioners, and policymakers, and will inspire further inquiry and debate within the legal community.

Description

The Indian Journal of Legal Affairs and Research is an academic journal that publishes peer-reviewed articles on a wide range of legal topics. Each issue is designed to provide a platform for legal scholars, practitioners, and students to share their research findings, theoretical explorations, and practical insights. Our journal covers various branches of law, including but not limited to constitutional law, international law, criminal law, commercial law, human rights, and environmental law. We are dedicated to ensuring that the articles published in our journal adhere to the highest standards of academic rigor and contribute meaningfully to the understanding and development of legal theories and practices.

THE INDIAN JOURNAL OF
LEGAL AFFAIRS AND
RESEARCH



The Indian Journal Of Legal Affairs And Research

(Published by Sweet E-Solution)

Impact Of Social Media On Freedom Of Speech And Expression In India

Authored By – Aditya Kumar¹

ARTICLE INFO

Article Type: - Review Article

Received on: - 12/08/2024

Revised on: - 21/08/2024

Accepted on: - 27/08/2024

Published on: - 01/09/2024

Doi Link: -

Introduction

Social media platforms have become integral to modern communication, enabling individuals to express opinions, share information, and engage in public discourse globally. In India, the proliferation of social media has significantly influenced freedom of speech and expression, raising pertinent questions about regulation, censorship, and the balance between free speech and societal interests². This paper explores the impact of social media on freedom of speech and expression in India, examining regulatory challenges, legal frameworks, and societal implications.

¹ LLB. 3rd Year, Chanakya National Law University, Patna

² Romesh Thappar v. State of Madras, AIR 1950 SC 124.

Evolution of Social Media in India

India has witnessed a rapid adoption of social media platforms such as Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, and WhatsApp, among others. These platforms have provided a digital space for individuals to voice opinions, mobilize communities, and participate in public debates on various social, political, and cultural issues. The decentralized nature of social media allows for instant dissemination of information, amplifying voices that may not have been traditionally heard through mainstream media channels.

Challenges to Freedom of Speech and Expression

1. Regulatory Framework:

- IT Act, 2000: The Information Technology Act provides a legal framework for electronic governance and cybercrime, including provisions on online content regulation³.
- Intermediary Guidelines and Digital Media Ethics Code Rules, 2021: Introduced guidelines for social media intermediaries, requiring them to comply with content removal requests and trace the originator of problematic content.

2. Censorship and Content Regulation:

- Takedown Requests: Platforms face pressure to remove content deemed offensive or illegal under Indian laws, raising concerns about censorship and the right to dissent.
- Blocking of Platforms: Periodic bans or restrictions on social media platforms during civil unrest or security incidents highlight government control over online discourse.

3. Fake News and Disinformation:

- Spread of Misinformation: Social media facilitates rapid dissemination of fake news and misinformation, posing challenges to public trust and societal harmony.
- Efforts to Combat Fake News: Platforms implement fact-checking mechanisms and community guidelines to curb misinformation while balancing free speech rights.

³ 1999 AIR SCW 2432

Legal and Constitutional Perspectives

1. Freedom of Speech under the Constitution:

- Article 19(1)(a): Guarantees freedom of speech and expression as a fundamental right, subject to reasonable restrictions for interests such as sovereignty, security, public order, and morality⁴.
- Judicial Interpretation: Courts play a crucial role in interpreting constitutional provisions to balance free speech rights with societal interests and public welfare.

2. Challenges to Freedom of Expression:

- Section 66A of the IT Act: Struck down by the Supreme Court in 2015 for being unconstitutional and infringing on free speech rights.
- Emerging Legal Debates: Ongoing legal debates on intermediary liability, data privacy, and content regulation continue to shape the legal landscape of social media governance in India.

Societal Implications and Public Discourse

1. Impact on Democratic Discourse:

- Political Mobilization: Social media platforms facilitate political activism, mobilization of voters, and engagement with elected representatives.
- Citizen Journalism: Empowers individuals to report news and share perspectives, challenging traditional media monopolies and enhancing democratic participation.⁵

2. Social Polarization and Echo Chambers:

- Filter Bubbles: Algorithms prioritize content based on user preferences, potentially reinforcing echo chambers and limiting exposure to diverse viewpoints.
- Polarization: Debates on social media often lead to polarization and echo chambers, exacerbating societal divisions and hindering constructive dialogue.

⁴ S. Rangarajan v. P Jagjivan Ram, (1989) 2 SCC 574.

⁵ Mane Sachin Babruvan, "A Critical Study on Right to Freedom of Speech and Expression and Role of Media in Indian Democracy", SHODHGANGA (12th Feb, 2024, 10:00 AM) <https://shodhganga.inflibnet.ac.in/handle/10603/203650>

Conclusion

The impact of social media on freedom of speech and expression in India is multifaceted, presenting opportunities for democratic engagement, amplification of voices, and access to information, while also posing challenges related to regulation, censorship, and misinformation. Balancing the rights of individuals to freely express opinions with societal interests and public welfare remains a delicate task for policymakers, regulators, and courts. Moving forward, fostering a regulatory framework that upholds constitutional principles while promoting transparency, accountability, and digital literacy is crucial to harnessing the full potential of social media as a tool for democratic discourse and societal progress in India.

Certainly! Here are eight case analyses focusing on judgments and conclusions related to various legal issues in India:

1. Shreya Singhal v. Union of India (2015)

Case Overview:

- Issue: Challenge to the constitutionality of Section 66A of the Information Technology Act, which allowed arrests for online posts deemed offensive.
- Judgment: The Supreme Court struck down Section 66A, ruling it unconstitutional as it violated freedom of speech and expression.
- Conclusion: The judgment reaffirmed the importance of protecting free speech on the internet and set a precedent against vague and overbroad laws restricting online content.

2. Justice K. S. Puttaswamy (Retd.) v. Union of India (2017)

Case Overview:

- Issue: Constitutional challenge to the validity of Aadhaar based on privacy concerns.
- Judgment: The Supreme Court held that the right to privacy is a fundamental right under the Indian Constitution.⁶
- Conclusion: The ruling established a robust framework for data protection and privacy rights in India, impacting policies related to Aadhaar and personal data collection.

⁶ Prime Legal , *Social Media and Freedom of Speech: The Legal Boundaries in India*, (13th Feb 2024 5:00 PM) <https://primelegal.in/2023/06/17/social-media-and-freedom-of-speech-the-legal-boundaries-in-india/>

3. Navtej Singh Johar v. Union of India (2018)

Case Overview:

- Issue: Challenge to the constitutionality of Section 377 of the Indian Penal Code, which criminalized homosexuality.
- Judgment: The Supreme Court struck down Section 377, decriminalizing consensual same-sex relations among adults.
- Conclusion: The judgment was a landmark decision for LGBTQ+ rights in India, affirming the principles of equality, dignity, and non-discrimination.

4. Common Cause (A Regd. Society) v. Union of India (2018)

Case Overview:

- Issue: Petition challenging the legality of passive euthanasia and the right to die with dignity.
- Judgment: The Supreme Court recognized passive euthanasia as legal and laid down guidelines for its implementation.
- Conclusion: The ruling provided clarity on end-of-life care and upheld individual autonomy and dignity in healthcare decisions.

5. K.S. Puttaswamy (Privacy) v. Union of India (2017)

Case Overview:

- Issue: Challenge to the use of Pegasus spyware for surveillance of individuals in India.
- Judgment: The Supreme Court ordered a committee to investigate the use of Pegasus spyware, emphasizing the right to privacy⁷.
- Conclusion: The case underscored concerns over state surveillance and privacy violations, highlighting the need for stringent safeguards against unauthorized surveillance.

6. Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh (2013)

Case Overview:

- Issue: Requirement for mandatory registration of FIRs by police in cognizable offenses.

⁷ Dheerajendra Patanjali, *Freedom of Speech and Expression India vs. America – A study* (15th Feb 2024 11:00 AM) www.indialawjournal.org/archives/volume3/issue_4/article_by_dheerajendra.html

- Judgment: The Supreme Court mandated registration of FIRs in cognizable offenses, setting a time frame for police action.
- Conclusion: The judgment aimed to ensure prompt and effective investigation of crimes, enhancing access to justice and police accountability.

7. Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India (1978)

Case Overview:

- Issue: Challenge to the validity of passport impoundment without due process.
- Judgment: The Supreme Court expanded the scope of Article 21 (right to life and personal liberty) to include procedural due process.
- Conclusion: The case broadened the interpretation of fundamental rights, emphasizing fair procedure in administrative actions affecting individual liberties⁸.

8. Vishaka v. State of Rajasthan (1997)

Case Overview:

- Issue: Lack of guidelines against sexual harassment at workplaces.
- Judgment: The Supreme Court laid down Vishaka Guidelines, establishing a framework for preventing and addressing sexual harassment at workplaces.
- Conclusion: The ruling was pivotal in promoting gender equality and workplace safety, setting precedents for legislative reforms and corporate accountability.

Conclusion

These case analyses highlight significant judicial decisions that have shaped legal principles and rights in India across diverse areas such as freedom of speech, privacy, LGBTQ+ rights, euthanasia, surveillance, police accountability, procedural due process, and workplace harassment. Each case reflects the judiciary's role in interpreting laws, protecting fundamental rights, and addressing societal challenges, thereby contributing to the evolution of India's legal framework and ensuring justice and equality for all citizens.

⁸ [2001] EWHC 32.

Certainly! Here's an example of a recent case that had a significant impact on freedom of speech and expression in India:

Example: Twitter vs. Government of India (2021)

Case Overview:

In 2021, Twitter was embroiled in a legal and regulatory dispute with the Government of India over content moderation and compliance with new IT rules.⁹ The dispute highlighted issues related to freedom of speech and expression, intermediary liability, and government control over social media platforms.

Background:

1. New IT Rules 2021:

- The Government of India introduced new Information Technology (Intermediary Guidelines and Digital Media Ethics Code) Rules, 2021, which aimed to regulate digital content and social media platforms more rigorously.¹⁰
- These rules mandated social media intermediaries like Twitter to comply with specific requirements, including appointing grievance officers, establishing mechanisms for content takedown requests, and ensuring traceability of messages.

2. Twitter's Response:

- Twitter initially expressed concerns over the new rules, citing issues related to freedom of speech, user privacy, and potential threats to user anonymity.
- The platform resisted fully implementing the rules, particularly the requirement to trace the origin of problematic content, arguing that it could compromise user privacy and security.

Impact on Freedom of Speech and Expression:

1. Censorship Concerns:

- Critics argued that the stringent content moderation requirements under the new rules could lead to censorship of dissenting voices and restrict freedom of expression online.

⁹ Eric Barendt, *Freedom of Expression in the United Kingdom Under the Human Rights Act 1998* (15 Feb 2024 8:00 AM) <https://www.repository.law.indiana.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1125&context=ilj>

¹⁰ Case no. 65831/01

- There were concerns that the rules could be used to stifle legitimate criticism of the government and suppress political dissent on social media platforms.

2. User Privacy and Anonymity:

- The debate underscored concerns over user privacy and the potential impact on anonymous speech, which is crucial for protecting whistleblowers and activists¹¹.
- Advocates for digital rights argued that the rules should strike a balance between regulating harmful content and preserving fundamental rights like privacy and freedom of expression.

Legal and Regulatory Developments:

1. Legal Challenges:

- Twitter and several civil society organizations filed petitions challenging the constitutionality of certain provisions of the new IT rules¹² in various High Courts across India.
- The courts heard arguments on issues related to freedom of speech, privacy rights, and the scope of governmental regulation over digital platforms.

2. Government's Position:

- The government maintained that the new rules were necessary to curb misinformation, hate speech, and illegal content on social media platforms.
- Authorities argued that regulatory oversight was essential to ensure responsible digital media practices and protect public order and national security¹³

Conclusion:

The Twitter vs. Government of India dispute exemplifies the ongoing challenges and debates surrounding freedom of speech and expression in the digital age. While governments seek to regulate digital platforms to curb abuse and misinformation, there is a delicate balance to uphold

¹¹ Xenia A. Ivanova, *Alexander A. Stepanov Restrictions of the Freedom of Speech in France in the Digital Technologies Era*, (12th Feb 2024 9 PM) [file:///C:/Users/cenah/Downloads/Restrictions_of_the_freedom_of_speech_in_France_in%20\(1\).pdf](file:///C:/Users/cenah/Downloads/Restrictions_of_the_freedom_of_speech_in_France_in%20(1).pdf)

¹² Faheema Shirin.R.K vs State of Kerala, AIR 2020 KERALA 35

¹³ Anuradha Bhasin vs Union of India, 2019 SCC OnLine SC 1725.

fundamental rights such as free speech, privacy, and anonymity online. The legal and regulatory outcomes of such disputes will shape the future landscape of digital governance in India, impacting how individuals express themselves and engage in public discourse on social media platforms. As these issues continue to evolve, stakeholders including governments, tech companies, civil society organizations, and legal experts must collaborate to develop frameworks that protect both rights and responsibilities in the digital sphere.



**THE INDIAN JOURNAL OF
LEGAL AFFAIRS AND
RESEARCH**